# Lake Livingston <br> 2020 Fisheries Management Survey Report <br> PERFORMANCE REPORT 

As Required by

FEDERAL AID IN SPORT FISH RESTORATION ACT

TEXAS

FEDERAL AID PROJECT F-221-M-4

INLAND FISHERIES DIVISION MONITORING AND MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

Prepared by:<br>Todd Driscoll, District Management Supervisor and<br>Dan Ashe, Assistant District Management Supervisor<br>Inland Fisheries Division Jasper District, Brookeland, Texas

Carter Smith<br>Executive Director<br>Craig Bonds<br>Director, Inland Fisheries

July 31, 2021


## Contents

Contents .....
Survey and Management Summary ..... 1
Introduction ..... 2
Reservoir Description ..... 2
Angler Access ..... 2
Management History ..... 2
Methods ..... 4
Results and Discussion ..... 4
Fisheries Management Plan for Lake Livingston, Texas ..... 7
Objective-Based Sampling Plan and Schedule (2021-2025) ..... 9
Literature Cited ..... 11
Tables and Figures ..... 12
Water Level ..... 12
Reservoir Characteristics ..... 12
Boat Ramp Characteristics ..... 13
Harvest Regulations ..... 15
Stocking History ..... 16
Objective-Based Sampling Plan for 2020-2021 ..... 18
Structural Habitat Survey ..... 19
Percent Directed Angler Effort per Species ..... 19
Total Fishing Effort and Fishing Expenditures ..... 19
Gizzard Shad ..... 20
Bluegill ..... 21
Blue Catfish ..... 22
Channel Catfish ..... 23
White Bass ..... 25
Striped Bass ..... 27
Largemouth Bass ..... 28
Crappies ..... 32
Proposed Sampling Schedule ..... 33
APPENDIX A - Catch rates for all species from all gear types ..... 34
APPENDIX B - Map of sampling locations ..... 35
APPENDIX C - Reporting of creel ZIP code data ..... 36
APPENDIX D - Fish consumption advisory issued by TDSHS ..... 37

## Survey and Management Summary

Fish populations in Lake Livingston were surveyed in 2020 using electrofishing and 2021 using gill netting. Anglers were surveyed from June through August 2020 with a creel survey. Historical data are presented with the 2020-2021 data for comparison. This report summarizes the results of the surveys and contains a management plan for the reservoir based on those findings.

Reservoir Description: Lake Livingston is an 83,277-acre mainstream impoundment on the Trinity River in Trinity, Polk, San Jacinto, and Walker counties. The reservoir was constructed in 1969 by the Trinity River Authority (TRA) and the City of Houston for municipal, agricultural, and industrial purposes. In cooperation with the TRA and the City of Houston, East Texas Electric Cooperative operates a hydroelectric facility at the dam (construction completed in June 2020). Private and commercial real estate development, Lake Livingston State Park, and several TRA public parks are present around the lower half of the reservoir. Primary fish habitat is standing timber, woody debris, and boat docks.

Management History: Important sport fish include catfishes, White Bass, Largemouth Bass, and crappies. All recreational fisheries have been regulated with statewide length and bag limits, except for the bag limit for Blue and Channel Catfish ( 50 fish/day; commercial harvest is allowed) and the 48 -inch maximum length limit for Alligator Gar. The management plan from the 2016 survey report recommended continued support for the Friends of Lake Livingston (FoLL) Friends of Reservoirs chapter littoral vegetation restoration efforts. Since 2013, FoLL, in cooperation with 8 independent school districts and numerous other partners, has propagated and introduced approximately 35,000 water willow plants at 20 sites throughout the reservoir. Striped Bass are stocked annually to provide TPWD hatcheries a source of broodfish for temperate bass production. Primary management challenges include siltation and habitat loss, and control of invasive species, primarily giant salvinia and water hyacinth.

## Fish Community

- Prey species: Gizzard Shad and Threadfin Shad were abundant and provided ample forage for sport fish. Bluegill were the most abundant sunfish but catch rate was low (49.0/h) and few fish > 6 inches were collected.
- Catfishes: Blue Catfish were abundant over the last three survey years. In 2021, catch rates increased to a historical high for the reservoir ( $42.7 / \mathrm{nn}$ ) and ample numbers of fish 12 to 25 inches were available to anglers. Historically, Channel Catfish abundance has been low. Gill net catch rates from the last three surveys ranged from 1.5 to $4.1 / \mathrm{nn}$, and few fish were > 12 inches. Catfishes were the second most popular sportfish during the summer of 2016 and 2020.
- Temperate basses: White Bass abundance has increased considerably over the last three survey years. In 2021, the catch rate was $21.5 / \mathrm{nn}$, a historical high for the reservoir. Size structure and fish condition were desirable. The White Bass fishery was the most popular during the summer of 2016 and 2020, and the average angler catch rate was $4.3 / \mathrm{h}$. Striped Bass abundance has been relatively low and no fish were collected from the 2021 gill net survey. No directed angling effort for Striped Bass was observed during the last three creel surveys.
- Largemouth Bass: Largemouth Bass abundance has been relatively low. Electrofishing catch rates did increase in 2016 and 2020, but few legal-size fish were available to anglers. No directed effort for Largemouth Bass was observed during the summer 2020 creel survey. Largemouth Bass had adequate growth rates (average age at 14 inches was 1.6 years).
- Crappies: Trap net catch rates of crappies have been historically low, and trap net surveys were discontinued in 2016. Few anglers target crappies.

Management Strategies: Continue to support habitat enhancement efforts by FoLL. Stock Florida Largemouth Bass every four years. Change the Channel and Blue Catfish harvest regulation to a no minimum length limit/50-fish daily bag limit, of which no more than five fish 30 inches or larger may be retained. Stock Striped Bass annually through 2023, then evaluate tailrace abundance and water temperatures. Consult with TRA regarding navigation lane boating hazards. Support TRA as needed with control of invasive aquatic vegetation.

## Introduction

This document is a summary of fisheries data collected from Lake Livingston from 2020-2021. The purpose of the document is to provide fisheries information and make management recommendations to protect and improve the sport fishery. While information on other fishes was collected, this report deals primarily with major sport fishes and important prey species. Historical data are presented with the 20202021 data for comparison.

## Reservoir Description

Lake Livingston is located on the Trinity River in Trinity, Polk, San Jacinto, and Walker counties, approximately 60 miles northeast of Houston. The 83,277-acre reservoir was constructed in 1969 by the Trinity River Authority (TRA) and the City of Houston to provide water for municipal, agricultural, and industrial purposes. In cooperation with the TRA and the City of Houston, East Texas Electric Cooperative (ETEC) operates a hydroelectric facility at the dam (construction completed in June 2020). Lake Livingston was eutrophic with a mean TSI chl-a of 61.7 (Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 2020). Lake Livingston has a drainage area of approximately 15,700 square miles and a shoreline length of approximately 350 miles. Aquatic habitat was limited and consisted of standing timber, woody debris, and boat docks. In 2015, the Texas Department of State Health Services (TDSHS) issued a fish consumption advisory for seven fish species (including Blue Catfish and White Bass) (Appendix D). In 2016, adult zebra mussels were found in Lake Livingston, and in 2017 the reservoir was officially classified as infested (established, reproducing population). However, abundance of adult zebra mussels remains low, as TRA and Texas Parks and Wildlife Department (TPWD) have not observed any adults on reservoir infrastructure or settlement samplers. There is considerable private and commercial real estate development, as well as Lake Livingston State Park and several TRA public parks, around the lower half of the reservoir. Other physical characteristics of Lake Livingston are in Table 1.

## Angler Access

Lake Livingston has 29 public boat ramps and one private boat ramp. Additional boat ramp characteristics are in Table 2. Shoreline access is limited to public boat ramp areas. Fishing piers are present at Wolf Creek, Tigerville Park, and Lake Livingston State Park.

## Management History

Previous management strategies and actions: Management strategies and actions from the previous survey report (Driscoll and Ashe 2017) included:

1. Support littoral habitat enhancement efforts by the Friends of Lake Livingston (FoLL) Friends of Reservoirs chapter.

Action: Funding has been provided to FoLL via Reservoir Fisheries Habitat Partnership and TPWD Conservation License Plate grants. With logistical support from 8 independent school districts, 15 local partners, the Texas Department of Criminal Justice (TDCJ)-Ellis Unit, Lee College, TRA, and TPWD, FoLL has propagated and introduced approximately 35,000 water willow plants at 20 sites throughout the reservoir, including 25,000 since 2017.
2. Stock Striped Bass annually to enhance the population and support hatchery broodfish collections below the Lake Livingston dam.

Action: Striped Bass were stocked annually from 2017-2020.
3. Continue to support efforts of TRA to control giant salvinia, water hyacinth, and water lettuce.

Action: Annual vegetation surveys have been conducted in cooperation with TRA. Approximately $\$ 25,000$ has been provided by TPWD each year for herbicides and equipment maintenance.

Harvest regulation history: Sport fishes in Lake Livingston are currently managed with statewide regulations, except for the 50 -fish bag limit for Blue and Channel Catfish and the 48 -inch maximum length limit for Alligator Gar. Current regulations are found in Table 3.

Stocking history: Since 1977, Striped Bass have been stocked annually, except for 1981, 1990, 1991, and 2001. Florida Largemouth Bass (FLMB) have been stocked periodically, most recently in 2017 and 2020. A complete stocking history is presented in Table 4.

Vegetation/habitat management history: Historically, water hyacinth and water lettuce have been problematic at Lake Livingston. During 2000-2010, abundance varied from 100-1,000 acres (approximately $90 \%$ of coverage was water hyacinth). Low water in 2011 reduced coverages significantly. Since then, plants have been scattered reservoir-wide, but total coverage has been < 50 acres. Giant salvinia was first discovered in 2011. The TRA has treated giant salvinia, water hyacinth, and water lettuce with herbicides annually to minimize plant coverage.

Historically, TPWD and Texas Black Bass Unlimited planted native aquatic vegetation at several sites throughout the reservoir, but no long-term plant survival occurred. Since 2013, FoLL has introduced over 35,000 water willow plants at 20 total sites, and in 2020 , plants were surviving at 10 of these sites.

Water transfer: Lake Livingston was built by the TRA for municipal water supply. The TRA functions as a water wholesaler to the Houston/Galveston metropolitan complex and surrounding areas. Municipal water from Lake Livingston is also provided to Huntsville, Trinity County, and Livingston. The Luce Bayou Interbasin Project, which will transfer 500 million gallons of raw water per day from the Trinity River below Lake Livingston to Lake Houston, is scheduled for completion in 2021. This project will supplement the existing Trinity River Pump Station near Liberty, which provides up to 700 million gallons of water per day, either directly to industrial users or to water treatment plants in the Houston area.

## Methods

Surveys were conducted to achieve survey and sampling objectives in accordance with the objectivebased sampling (OBS) plan for Lake Livingston (Driscoll and Ashe 2017). Primary components of the OBS plan are listed in Table 5. Due to poor habitat conditions throughout a majority of the reservoir, the Largemouth Bass population is primarily confined to embayments and creek arms. In 2016 and 2020, fall electrofishing sites were randomly selected within 10 creeks and embayments that provided more desirable centrarchid habitat and were sampled during daytime (reservoir is shallow and turbid). Otherwise, all surveys were conducted according to the Fishery Assessment Procedures (TPWD, Inland Fisheries Division, unpublished manual revised 2017).

Electrofishing - Largemouth Bass, Sunfishes, Gizzard Shad, and Threadfin Shad were collected by fall electrofishing in 2011 (2.0 hours at 24, 5-min stations), 2016 ( 2.2 hours at 26, 5-min stations), and 2020 ( 2.0 hours at $24,5-\mathrm{min}$ stations). Catch per unit effort (CPUE) for electrofishing was recorded as the number of fish caught per hour (fish/h) of actual electrofishing. Ages for Largemouth Bass were determined using otoliths from 10 randomly selected fish (range 13.5 to 14.5 inches).

Gill netting - Blue Catfish, Channel Catfish, White Bass, and Striped Bass were collected by gill netting ( 15 net nights at 15 stations) in 2012, 2017, and 2021. CPUE for gill netting was recorded as the number of fish caught per net night (fish/nn).

Genetics - Genetic analysis of Largemouth Bass was conducted according to the Fishery Assessment Procedures (TPWD, Inland Fisheries Division, unpublished manual revised 2017). Micro-satellite DNA analysis was used to determine genetic composition of individual fish.

Statistics - Sampling statistics (CPUE for various length categories), structural indices [Proportional Size Distribution (PSD), terminology modified by Guy et al. 2007], and condition indices [relative weight ( $\mathrm{W}_{r}$ )] were calculated for target fishes according to Anderson and Neumann (1996). Index of Vulnerability (IOV) was calculated for Gizzard Shad (DiCenzo et al. 1996). Standard error (SE) was calculated for structural indices and IOV. Relative standard error (RSE = $100 \times$ SE of the estimate/estimate) was calculated for all CPUE and creel statistics.

Creel survey - An annual roving creel survey was conducted from June 2011 through May 2012, but only data from the June through August 2011 quarter are presented for appropriate trend comparisons with 2016 and 2020 data. A summer quarter roving creel survey was conducted from June through August in 2016 and 2020. Angler interviews were conducted on 5 weekend days and 4 weekdays per quarter to assess angler use and fish catch/harvest statistics in accordance with the Fishery Assessment Procedures (TPWD, Inland Fisheries Division, unpublished manual revised 2017). Total angler catch of Largemouth Bass $\geq 4,7$, and 10 pounds was also estimated. Anglers were asked if released fish were within weight categories. Harvested fish lengths were converted to weights for classification (19 inches = 4 pounds; 23 inches = 7 pounds; 25 inches = 10 pounds). Harvested and released fish were combined to represent total catch for weight categories.

Habitat - A structural habitat survey was conducted in 2016. Aquatic vegetation surveys were conducted from 2017-2020 to monitor giant salvinia and water hyacinth, and coverages were assessed with the digital shapefile method (TPWD, Inland Fisheries Division, unpublished manual revised 2017).

Water level - Source for water level data was the United States Geological Survey (USGS 2021).

## Results and Discussion

Habitat: A habitat survey was last conducted in 2016 (Driscoll and Ashe 2017). Littoral zone structural habitat consisted primarily of natural shoreline, bulkhead, and boat docks (Table 6). An area of approximately 5,800 acres of standing timber occupies the middle portion of the reservoir. Aquatic vegetation was limited to detrimental plants (e.g., giant salvinia, water hyacinth, and water lettuce), but coverages were limited. Water hyacinth and water lettuce were scattered throughout the reservoir and comprised < 10 acres. Giant salvinia is primarily confined to White Rock Creek, Brushy Creek, and the Fingers backwater area of the reservoir. Current coverage is $<30$ acres.

Sedimentation due to turbid inflows has occurred at high rates in the upper third of the reservoir and at the mouths of all creeks where flow rates slow and suspended sediments settle. Deposition of sediment has degraded considerable amounts of littoral habitat and isolated productive backwater areas from the reservoir.

Creel: The popularity of the White Bass fishery has increased considerably over the last three creel survey periods, from 12\% of directed angling effort in 2011 to $58 \%$ in 2020 (Table 7). The proportion of directed angling effort for catfishes has declined over the same survey periods from $45 \%$ in 2011 to 19\% in 2020. Angling effort for Largemouth Bass has also declined. Approximately 25\% of anglers targeted Largemouth Bass in 2011, compared to $14 \%$ in 2016 and $0 \%$ in in 2020. Although total angling effort has been relatively low, effort did increase over the last three creel survey periods from 23,178 hours (2011) to 55,070 hours (2020) (Table 8). Similarly, total angler expenditures also increased across periods and was $\$ 338,482$ in 2020.

Prey species: In 2020, Gizzard Shad and Threadfin Shad abundance was high, with electrofishing catch rates of 290.0 and $3,787.5 / \mathrm{h}$, respectively (Figure 2 and Appendix A). Most Gizzard Shad were available as prey (IOV $=94$ ). Other prey species included sunfishes [Bluegill (Figure 3), Longear Sunfish, and Redear Sunfish], but abundance was low (combined catch rate of 64.0 h ; Appendix A).

Catfishes: Blue Catfish gill net catch rates were relatively high and stable in 2012 ( $34.8 / \mathrm{nn}$ ) and 2017 (28.7/nn) (Figure 4). In 2021, catch rates increased to a historical high for the reservoir (42.7/nn). Size structure also improved over the last three surveys as reflected by increasing PSD values (14 in 2012; 25 in 2021). Fish condition was moderate as $\mathrm{W} r$ for most fish ranged from 80 to 120 , indicating adequate prey availability.

Historically, Channel Catfish abundance has been low. Gill net catch rates from the last three surveys ranged from 1.5 to $4.1 / \mathrm{nn}$, and few fish were > 12 inches (Figure 5).
Catfish anglers (rod and reel only) accounted for $18.9 \%$ of the total angling effort during the summer of 2020 (Table 7). Angler catch rates declined from 1.5/h in 2011 to $0.6 / \mathrm{h}$ in 2020 (Table 9). In 2020, total estimated harvest was 2,260 fish; 2,019 were Blue Catfish ( $89 \%$; Figure 6 ) and 241 were Channel Catfish (11\%; Figure 7). Anecdotal information indicates that passive gear angling is popular and likely accounts for most of the annual catfish harvest, and these anglers were not included during creel surveys.

Temperate basses: Gill net surveys indicate increasing recruitment and abundance of White Bass. Since 2015, Trinity River inflows were relatively high during the winter and spring seasons of most years which likely resulted in favorable spawning conditions and strong year classes. Gill net catch rates were 3.1, 11.3, and 21.5/nn (a historic high for the reservoir) in 2012, 2017, and 2021, respectively (Figure 8). Fish were in adequate condition, as Wr for most inch groups exceeded 90 . The White Bass fishery was the most popular during 2016 ( $39.2 \%$ of angling effort) and 2020 ( $58.3 \%$ of angling effort) (Table 7). Angler catch rate in 2020 was relatively high ( $4.3 / \mathrm{h}$ ) and increased from previous years (Table 10). Total angler harvest also increased over the last three survey periods and was 35,916 fish in 2020 (Table 10 and Figure 9).

Striped Bass have been stocked annually to maintain a broodfish source for hatchery production of Striped Bass, Palmetto Bass, and Sunshine Bass. However, survival of stocked fry and fingerlings has been relatively low, as evidenced by gill net surveys in the reservoir. Gill net catch rates in 2012 (1.5/nn) and 2017 ( $0.9 / \mathrm{nn}$ ) were low, and no fish were collected in 2020 (Figure 10). In addition, during the last several years few adult fish have been collected for hatchery production from the tailrace below the dam. Few anglers target Striped Bass. During the last three creel survey periods, no directed effort was documented (Table 7) and only two fish were observed as harvested (Figure 11).

Largemouth Bass: Limited littoral habitat has inhibited Largemouth Bass recruitment and abundance. Historically, fall electrofishing catch rates of Largemouth Bass from random, reservoir-wide surveys have been low (<20/h) and in 2011, the catch rate was 7.5/h (Figure 12). Beginning in 2016, surveys were restricted to random sampling within 10 creeks and embayments with more desirable habitat. Although catch rates were higher and similar among years ( $2016=39.2 / \mathrm{h} ; 2020=37.5 / \mathrm{h}$ ), catch was relatively low and few fish > 14 inches were observed (Figure 13). Growth of Largemouth Bass was desirable; average
age at 14 inches ( 13.5 to 14.5 inches) was 1.6 years ( $\mathrm{N}=10$; range $=1-2$ years). Body condition from the past three surveys was adequate, as relative weight was $>90$ for most size classes of fish. In 2020, FLMB genetic influence was relatively low and similar to that from 2011 (Table 14).

Popularity of the Largemouth Bass fishery has declined. During the summer quarter in 2011, $25 \%$ of the angling effort was directed at Largemouth Bass (Table 7). In 2016, directed angler effort declined to 14\%, and in 2020, no directed effort was observed. Although some smaller local tournaments are conducted on the reservoir each year, none were observed during the last three creel survey periods (Table 11).

Crappies: White and Black Crappie were present, but population abundance has been low. Trap netting for crappies was discontinued in 2016 due to historically poor catch rates (typically < 2.0/nn). Few anglers target crappies (<4\% of the total angling effort) (Table 7).

# Fisheries Management Plan for Lake Livingston, Texas 

Prepared - July 2021

ISSUE 1: Littoral habitat degradation and siltation limit centrarchid recruitment and abundance. Since 2013, FoLL, in cooperation with 8 independent school districts and numerous other partners, has propagated and introduced approximately 35,000 water willow plants at 20 sites throughout the reservoir. Since 2017, TPWD, FoLL, and the TDCJ-Ellis Unit have collaborated to construct and introduce approximately 200 plastic fish attractors at 17 sites.

## MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES

1. Continue to support habitat enhancement efforts by FoLL and assist with grant applications to maintain funding.
2. Monitor water willow planting sites to document progress. When appropriate, recommend changes that may improve project efficiency and success.
3. Stock FLMB fingerlings every four years ( $1,000 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{km}$ of shoreline with suitable habitat; 100,000 fish total) to increase fishing quality. Continue to monitor angler catch of Largemouth Bass $\geq 8$ pounds and FLMB genetics to document stocking efficacy.

ISSUE 2: Channel and Blue Catfish harvest at Lake Livingston has been regulated with a 12-inch minimum length limit and 50-fish daily bag limit since 1995. Beginning in 2017, a statewide effort was initiated to reduce complexity of existing catfish harvest regulations. Public input was requested to ensure that regulations were consistent with the desires of catfish anglers. The Blue Catfish population at Lake Livingston is abundant, anglers are harvest-oriented, and there is little concern for overharvest.

## MANAGEMENT STRATEGY

1. Effective September 1, 2021, Channel and Blue Catfish harvest will be regulated with no minimum length limit and a 50-fish daily bag limit, of which no more than five fish 30 inches or larger may be retained.

ISSUE 3: Since 1977, Striped Bass have been stocked annually, excluding four years. Historically, Striped Bass broodfish were collected from the Lake Livingston tailrace for statewide hatchery production of Striped Bass, Palmetto Bass, and Sunshine Bass, and the tailrace supported a productive Striped Bass fishery. However, Striped Bass abundance in the tailrace has been relatively low the past few years and no broodfish have been collected since 2019. Operation of the ETEC hydroelectric facility (completed in June 2020) could result in increased temperatures of reservoir discharges, further reducing Striped Bass abundance. As part of the post-startup monitoring plan, ETEC is required to monitor tailrace water temperatures and take mitigative steps once water temperatures and dissolved oxygen levels reach $30.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $3.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, respectively. Striped Bass abundance in the reservoir has also been low, and no directed angling effort has been observed during the last three creel surveys.

## MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES

1. Continue to stock Striped Bass fry or fingerlings annually through 2023 in the reservoir and tailrace. Stocking rates will be based on production and availability from hatcheries.
2. During 2022 and 2023, evaluate Striped Bass abundance in the tailrace during the spring and water temperatures during the summer. If no Striped Bass are observed and summer water temperatures routinely exceed $31^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, explore other viable options to mitigate for the loss of available broodfish that have historically been collected in the tailrace.

ISSUE 4: The historical Trinity River (above U.S. Highway 190) and White Rock Creek channels are delineated with red and green navigation markers and serve as boat lanes. However, due to high siltation rates, a $1-\mathrm{km}$ section of the Trinity River navigation lane is < 3 feet deep and woody debris is abundant. A $0.5-\mathrm{km}$ section of the White Rock Creek lane is < 1 foot deep and not navigable. Both locations are safety hazards for boaters.

## MANAGEMENT STRATEGY

1. Consult with TRA regarding appropriate strategies to address navigation hazards.

ISSUE 5: $\quad$ Although White Bass and Blue Catfish populations are abundant and provide the most popular fisheries, the TDSHS issued a fish consumption advisory in 2015.

## MANAGEMENT STRATEGY

1. Promote these fisheries when appropriate, but ensure anglers are aware of the consumption advisory.

ISSUE 6: Historically, water hyacinth and water lettuce coverages have impeded access. Giant salvinia was discovered in 2011. Although coverages of these invasive plants have been minimal in recent years, the potential exists for these plants to expand.

## MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES

1. Monitor invasive aquatic plants annually.
2. Continue to support annual control efforts by TRA.

# Objective-Based Sampling Plan and Schedule (2021-2025) 

Sport fish, forage fish, and other important fishes
Sport fishes in Lake Livingston include Blue Catfish, Channel Catfish, Flathead Catfish, White Bass, Striped Bass, Largemouth Bass, and crappies. Important forage species include Bluegill, Gizzard Shad, and Threadfin Shad.

Low-density fisheries
Although Striped Bass are stocked annually to maintain a broodfish population for hatchery production of Striped Bass, Palmetto Bass, and Sunshine Bass, no directed angling effort for Striped Bass has been observed during the last four creel survey periods (2007-2008, 2011-2012, 2016, and 2020). Catch rates from the last three gill net surveys $(2008,2012$, and 2016 ) have also been low $(<2.0 / n n)$. Although no future directed sampling is planned, Striped Bass catch will be recorded from gill net surveys directed at catfishes and White Bass (see below).

Survey objectives, fisheries metrics, and sampling objectives
Catfishes: Historically, the catfish fishery has been the most popular at Lake Livingston, accounting for $35-60 \%$ of the annual angling effort. Blue Catfish is the most abundant species, accounting for over $85 \%$ of the annual harvest. Channel Catfish comprise the remaining catfish harvest. Flathead Catfish are present in the reservoir but are rarely sampled, and no fish have been observed during creel surveys.

Gill netting surveys conducted every four years have indicated relatively stable Blue and Channel Catfish recruitment and abundance and should provide adequate population-level insight relative to large-scale changes that would dictate further investigation. A minimum of 15 randomly selected gill netting sites will be sampled in 2025, but sampling will continue at random sites until 50 stock-sized Blue Catfish are collected and the RSE of CPUE-S is < 25 (the anticipated effort to meet both sampling objectives is 6-12 stations with $80 \%$ confidence). A maximum of 10 additional gill nets will be deployed if objectives are not attained. At the effort needed to achieve sampling objectives for Blue Catfish, the expected RSE for CPUE-S is $45-55$ for Channel Catfish. No additional effort will be expended to achieve an RSE $\leq 25$.

White Bass: Popularity of the White Bass fishery has been variable, accounting for $12-58 \%$ of the annual angling effort. Gill net catch rates have also been variable, ranging from 3.1 to $21.5 / \mathrm{nn}$ over the last three survey years. Gill net surveys every four years, per catfish sampling above, should provide sufficient population-level insight. At 15 nn of effort, the expected RSE for CPUE-S is 28-45. A maximum of 10 additional gill nets will be deployed in an attempt to achieve an RSE $\leq 25$ and collect 50 stock-size fish.

Largemouth Bass: During the last three creel surveys, the proportion of angling effort directed at Largemouth Bass has varied from $0-25 \%$. Historically, random, fall nighttime electrofishing reservoir-wide has resulted in catch rates of $<20$ fish $/ \mathrm{h}$. Due to poor habitat conditions throughout a majority of the reservoir, the Largemouth Bass population is primarily confined to embayments and creek arms. Daytime fall electrofishing (reservoir is shallow and turbid), randomized only within areas of better habitat off the main body of the reservoir, increased catch rates in $2016(39.2 / \mathrm{h})$ and $2020(37.5 / \mathrm{h})$. These daytime surveys will continue in 2024 and every four years thereafter. A minimum of 24,5 -min electrofishing sites will be sampled, but sampling will continue until 50 stock-sized fish are collected and the RSE of CPUE-S is $<25$. If failure to achieve either objective has occurred after one day of sampling and objectives can be attained with up to 12 additional random stations, another day of effort will be expended.

In addition, average age of Largemouth Bass between 13.0 and 14.9 inches $(N=13)$ will be estimated in 2024.

Crappies: The crappie fishery accounts for < 10\% of the annual angling effort. Historically, trap netting has resulted in low catch rates (typically < 2 fish/nn). Trap netting was discontinued in 2016. Creel
surveys (as described below) will be used to monitor the crappie fishery and make inferences about the population.

Prey species: Bluegill, Gizzard Shad, and Threadfin Shad are the primary forage at Lake Livingston. Daytime fall electrofishing, as per Largemouth Bass above, will allow for monitoring of large-scale changes in Bluegill and Gizzard Shad relative abundance and size structure. Effort based on achieving sampling objectives for Largemouth Bass should result in sufficient numbers of Bluegill (PSD; 50 fish minimum) and Gizzard Shad size structure (IOV; 50 fish minimum). No additional effort will be expended to achieve an RSE $\leq 25$ for forage species, but Largemouth Bass body condition (fish > 8" TL) will be used to provide additional information on forage abundance and vulnerability.

Creel survey frequency and objectives
The overall fishery at Lake Livingston (i.e., directed angling effort, catch rates, and harvest) will be monitored with a summer quarter, roving creel survey in 2024 ( 5 weekend and 4 weekdays/quarter).

## Literature Cited

Anderson, R. O., and R. M. Neumann. 1996. Length, weight, and associated structural indices. Pages 447-482 in B. R. Murphy and D. W. Willis, editors. Fisheries techniques, 2nd edition. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, Maryland.
DiCenzo, V. J., M. J. Maceina, and M. R. Stimpert. 1996. Relations between reservoir trophic state and Gizzard Shad population characteristics in Alabama reservoirs. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 16:888-895.
Driscoll, T., and D. Ashe. 2017. Lake Livingston, 2016 fisheries management survey report. Texas Parks and Wildlife Department, Federal Aid Report F-221-M-3, Austin.

Guy, C. S., R. M. Neumann, D. W. Willis, and R. O. Anderson. 2007. Proportional size distribution (PSD): a further refinement of population size structure index terminology. Fisheries 32(7): 348.

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality. 2020. Trophic classification of Texas reservoirs. 2020 Texas integrated report for Clean Water Act Sections 305(b) and 303(d), Austin. 15 pp.
United States Geological Society (USGS). 2021. National water information system: Web interface. Available: http://waterdata.usgs.gov/tx/nwis (May 2021).

## Tables and Figures



Figure 1. Quarterly water level elevations in feet above mean sea level (MSL) recorded for Lake Livingston, Texas

Table 1. Characteristics of Lake Livingston, Texas.

| Characteristic | Description |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Year constructed | 1969 | Trinity River Authority |
| Controlling authority | Polk, Trinity, San Jacinto, Walker |  |
| Counties | Mainstem |  |
| Reservoir type | 10.7 |  |
| Shoreline Development Index | $200-450 \mu \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{cm}$ |  |
| Conductivity |  |  |

Table 2. Boat ramp characteristics for Lake Livingston, Texas, January 2021. Reservoir elevation at time of survey was 131 feet above mean sea level.

| Boat ramp | Latitude Longitude <br> $(\mathrm{dd})$ | Public | Parking <br> capacity <br> $(\mathrm{N})$ | Elevation <br> at end of <br> boat <br> ramp (ft) | Condition |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Walker's Waterpoint | $30.83271 ;-95.08348$ | Y | 20 | 127 | Access road and <br> parking area <br> rough and <br> eroded |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kickapoo Marina | $30.81272 ;-95.08143$ | Y | 10 | 124 | Adequate |
| Sandy Creek Marina | $30.80994 ;-95.07560$ | Y | 3 | 128 | Adequate |
| Penwaugh Marina | $30.74011 ;-95.05815$ | Y | 10 | 124 | Adequate |
| Blanchard | $30.73642 ;-95.08828$ | $Y$ | 32 | 124 | Excellent |
| Tigerville Park | $30.71518 ;-95.05353$ | Y | 12 | 123 | Adequate |
| Beacon Bay Marina | $30.68744 ;-95.03510$ | Y | 15 | 122 | Adequate |
| State Park \#1 | $30.66050 ;-95.00699$ | Y | 19 | 126 | Adequate |
| State Park \#2 | $30.65898 ;-95.00649$ | Y | 36 | 125 | Excellent |
| State Park \#3 | $30.65219 ;-95.00570$ | Y | 29 | 124 | Excellent |

Table 3. Harvest regulations for Lake Livingston, Texas.

| Species | Bag limit | Length limit |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Gar, Alligator | $1^{\text {a,b }}$ | 48-inch maximum ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Catfish: Channel and Blue Catfish, <br> their hybrids and subspecies | (in any combination) | 12-inch minimum |
| Catfish, Flathead | 5 | 18-inch minimum |
| Bass, White | 25 | 10-inch minimum |
| Bass, Striped | 5 | 18-inch minimum |
| Bass, Largemouth | 5 | 14-inch minimum |
| Crappie: White and Black Crappie, | (in any combination) | 10-inch minimum |
| their hybrids and subspecies | 25 |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Mandatory harvest reporting required for all harvested Alligator Gar (reporting available through the My Texas Hunt Harvest app or at https://apps.tpwd.state.tx.us/huntharvest/home.faces).
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Between one half-hour after sunset and one half-hour before sunrise, no person may take or possess an Alligator Gar with archery equipment or crossbow unless they possess a harvest authorization.
${ }^{c}$ Anglers holding a harvest authorization can harvest one Alligator Gar exceeding 48 inches in length per year.

Table 4. Stocking history of Lake Livingston, Texas. FGL = fingerling; AFGL = advanced fingerling; ADL = adults; FRY = fry; UNK = unknown.

| Species | Year | Number | Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Blue Catfish | 1969 | 159,800 | UNK |
|  | 2012 | 21 | ADL |
|  | Total | 159,821 |  |
| Channel Catfish | 1969 | 634,905 | AFGL |
|  | 1970 | 254,000 | AFGL |
|  | Total | 888,905 |  |
| Florida Largemouth Bass | 1975 | 26,000 | FRY |
|  | 1976 | 22,000 | FRY |
|  | 1977 | 250,330 | FRY |
|  | 1978 | 301,350 | FGL |
|  | 1978 | 451,936 | FRY |
|  | 1996 | 814,762 | FGL |
|  | 1996 | 84,252 | FRY |
|  | 2000 | 501,639 | FGL |
|  | 2001 | 500,018 | FGL |
|  | 2006 | 201,694 | FGL |
|  | 2007 | 200,586 | FGL |
|  | 2017 | 108,695 | FGL |
|  | 2020 | 51,970 | FGL |
|  | Total | 3,515,232 |  |
| Largemouth Bass | 1969 | 1,018,400 | FRY |
| Paddlefish | 1990 | 63,232 | FRY |
|  | 1991 | 34,132 | FRY |
|  | 1992 | 20,370 | FRY |
|  | Total | 117,734 |  |
| ShareLunker Largemouth Bass | 2010 | 2,069 | FGL |
| Striped Bass | 1977 | 884,726 | UNK |
|  | 1978 | 117,091 | UNK |
|  | 1979 | 224,000 | UNK |
|  | 1980 | 283,584 | UNK |
|  | 1982 | 341,357 | UNK |
|  | 1983 | 189,265 | UNK |
|  | 1984 | 1,424,455 | FGL |
|  | 1985 | 896,996 | FGL |
|  | 1986 | 108,780 | FGL |
|  | 1986 | 339,705 | FRY |
|  | 1987 | 97,416 | FGL |


| 1987 | 801,169 | FRY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1988 | 59,800 | FGL |
| 1988 | 839,815 | FRY |
| 1989 | 766,205 | FGL |
| 1989 | 139,482 | FRY |
| 1992 | 351,750 | FGL |
| 1993 | 405,370 | FGL |
| 1994 | 1,114,221 | FGL |
| 1994 | 674,449 | FRY |
| 1995 | 900,833 | FGL |
| 1996 | 207,158 | FGL |
| 1996 | 233,921 | FRY |
| 1997 | 740,024 | FGL |
| 1997 | 245,407 | FRY |
| 1998 | 689,849 | FGL |
| 1999 | 913,952 | FGL |
| 2000 | 900,264 | FGL |
| 2002 | 1,392,893 | FGL |
| 2003 | 1,032,104 | FGL |
| 2004 | 437,508 | FGL |
| 2005 | 526,148 | FGL |
| 2006 | 746,278 | FGL |
| 2007 | 796,122 | FGL |
| 2008 | 206,090 | FGL |
| 2009 | 814,606 | FGL |
| 2010 | 767,253 | FGL |
| 2010 | 1,938,340 | FRY |
| 2011 | 50,687 | FGL |
| 2012 | 252,640 | FGL |
| 2013 | 1,029,903 | FGL |
| 2014 | 795,073 | FGL |
| 2015 | 1,067,557 | FGL |
| 2016 | 497,249 | FGL |
| 2017 | 873,861 | FGL |
| 2018 | 1,117,519 | FGL |
| 2019 | 170,361 | AFGL |
| 2019 | 621,253 | FGL |
| 2020 | 229,718 | FGL |
| 2020 | 3,146,243 | FRY |
| 2021 | 764,403 | FGL |
| 2021 | 957,319 | FRY |
| Total | 35,122,172 |  |

Table 5. Objective-based sampling plan components for Lake Livingston, Texas 2020-2021.

| Gear/target species | Survey objective | Metrics | Sampling objective |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Electrofishing |  |  |  |
| Largemouth Bass | Abundance <br> Size structure <br> Age-and-growth <br> Condition | CPUE - stock PSD, length frequency Age at 14 inches Wr | RSE-Stock $\leq 25$ <br> $\mathrm{N} \geq 50$ stock <br> $N=13,13.0-14.9$ inches <br> 10 fish/inch group (max) |
| Bluegill ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Abundance Size structure | CPUE - Total PSD, length frequency |  |
| Threadfin Shad ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Abundance | CPUE - Total |  |
| Gizzard Shad ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Abundance <br> Size structure <br> Prey availability | CPUE - Total <br> Length frequency IOV |  |
| Gill netting |  |  |  |
| Blue Catfish | Abundance <br> Size structure <br> Condition | CPUE-stock <br> PSD, length frequency <br> Wr | RSE-stock $\leq 25$ <br> $\mathrm{N} \geq 50$ stock <br> 10 fish/inch group (max) |
| Channel Catfish ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Abundance <br> Size structure <br> Condition | CPUE- stock <br> PSD, length frequency <br> Wr |  |
| White Bass | Abundance <br> Size structure <br> Condition | CPUE- stock <br> PSD, length frequency <br> $\mathrm{W}_{r}$ | RSE-stock $\leq 25$ <br> $\mathrm{N} \geq 50$ stock <br> 10 fish/inch group (max) |
| Creel survey <br> All sport fish | Trend information on angler utilization | Angler effort, CPUE, total harvest and size composition |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ No additional effort will be expended to achieve an RSE $\leq 25$ for CPUE of Bluegill, Threadfin Shad, Gizzard Shad, or Channel Catfish if not reached from designated Largemouth Bass or Blue Catfish sampling effort.

Table 6. Survey of structural habitat types, Lake Livingston, Texas, 2016.

| Habitat type | Estimate | $\%$ of total |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Bulkhead | 32.2 miles | 9.6 |
| Bulkhead with boat docks | 126.0 miles | 37.7 |
| Natural | 163.5 miles | 49.0 |
| Natural with boat docks | 3.4 miles | 0.1 |
| Rocky | 7.6 miles | 2.3 |
| Rocky with boat docks | 0.1 miles | $<0.1$ |
| Rock bluff | 1.3 miles | $<0.1$ |
| Standing timber | 5,778 acres | 6.9 |

Table 7. Percent directed angler effort by species for Lake Livingston, Texas, 2011, 2016, and 2020. Survey periods were from 1 June through 31 August.

| Species | 2011 | 2016 | 2020 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catfishes | 45.0 | 34.7 | 18.9 |
| White Bass | 12.0 | 39.2 | 58.3 |
| Largemouth Bass | 25.2 | 13.7 | 0.0 |
| Crappies | 0.0 | 2.4 | 3.1 |
| Anything | 17.8 | 10.0 | 19.7 |

Table 8. Total fishing effort (h) for all species and total directed expenditures at Lake Livingston, Texas, 2011, 2016, and 2020. Survey periods were from 1 June through 31 August. Relative standard error is in parentheses.

| Creel statistic | 2011 | 2016 | 2020 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total fishing effort | $23,178(32)$ | $30,950(40)$ | $55,070(62)$ |
| Total directed <br> expenditures | $\$ 133,527(100)$ | $\$ 313,913(93)$ | $\$ 338,482(64)$ |

## Gizzard Shad



Figure 2. Number of Gizzard Shad caught per hour (CPUE) and population indices (RSE and N for CPUE and SE for IOV are in parentheses) for fall electrofishing surveys, Lake Livingston, Texas, 2011, 2016, and 2020.

## Bluegill



Figure 3. Number of Bluegill caught per hour (CPUE) and population indices (RSE and N for CPUE and SE for size structure are in parentheses) for fall electrofishing surveys, Lake Livingston, Texas, 2011, 2016, and 2020.

## Blue Catfish



Figure 4. Number of Blue Catfish caught per net night (CPUE), mean relative weight (diamonds), and population indices (RSE and N for CPUE and SE for size structure are in parentheses) for spring gill net surveys, Lake Livingston, Texas, 2012, 2017, and 2021.

## Channel Catfish



Figure 5. Number of Channel Catfish caught per net night (CPUE), mean relative weight (diamonds), and population indices (RSE and $N$ for CPUE and SE for size structure are in parentheses) for spring gill net surveys, Lake Livingston, Texas, 2012, 2017, and 2021.

## Catfishes

Table 9. Creel survey statistics for catfishes at Lake Livingston, Texas, from June through August 2011, June through August 2016, and June through August 2020. Total catch per hour is for anglers targeting catfishes, and total harvest is the estimated number of catfishes harvested by all anglers. Relative standard errors (RSE) are in parentheses.

| Creel survey statistic | Year |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2011 |  | 2016 |
| Directed effort (h) | 73,887 | 83,277 | 83,277 |
| Directed effort/acre | $10,420.05(32)$ | $10,749.49(37)$ | $10,381.84(67)$ |
| Total catch per hour | $0.14(32)$ | $0.13(37)$ | $0.12(67)$ |
| Total harvest | $1.51(101)$ | $0.84(53)$ | $0.59(47)$ |
| Harvest/acre | $1,118.00(220)$ | $3,465.00(103)$ | $2,260.00(141)$ |
| Percent legal released | $0.02(220)$ | $0.04(103)$ | $0.03(141)$ |



Figure 6. Length frequency of harvested Blue Catfish observed during creel surveys at Lake Livingston, Texas, June 2011 through August 2020, all anglers combined. N is the number of harvested Blue Catfish observed during creel surveys, and TH is the total estimated harvest for the creel period


Figure 7. Length frequency of harvested Channel Catfish observed during creel surveys at Lake Livingston, Texas, June 2011 through August 2020, all anglers combined. N is the number of harvested Channel Catfish observed during creel surveys, and TH is the total estimated harvest for the creel period.

## White Bass



Figure 8. Number of White Bass caught per net night (CPUE), mean relative weight (diamonds), and population indices (RSE and N for CPUE and SE for size structure are in parentheses) for spring gill net surveys, Lake Livingston, Texas, 2012, 2017, and 2021.

Table 10. Creel survey statistics for White Bass at Lake Livingston, Texas, from June through August 2011, June through August 2016, and June through August 2020. Total catch per hour is for anglers targeting White Bass and total harvest is the estimated number of White Bass harvested by all anglers. Relative standard errors (RSE) are in parentheses.

| Creel survey statistic | Year |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2011 |  |  |
| Surface area $($ acres $)$ | 73,887 | 2016 | 2020 |
| Directed effort $(\mathrm{h})$ | $2,780.49(64)$ | $11,792.20(50)$ | $32,116.40(63)$ |
| Directed effort/acre | $0.04(64)$ | $0.14(50)$ | $0.39(63)$ |
| Total catch per hour | $2.77(100)$ | $2.41(62)$ | $4.34(25)$ |
| Total harvest | $9,389.00(67)$ | $25,162.00(82)$ | $35,916.00(70)$ |
| Harvest/acre | $0.13(67)$ | $0.30(82)$ | $0.43(70)$ |
| Percent legal released | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 |


$\square 2011 \mathrm{~N}=42 ; \mathrm{TH}=9,389 \square 2016 \mathrm{~N}=45 ; \mathrm{TH}=25,162 \square 2020 \mathrm{~N}=149 ; \mathrm{TH}=35,916$

Figure 9. Length frequency of harvested White Bass observed during creel surveys at Lake Livingston, Texas, June 2011 through August 2020, all anglers combined. N is the number of harvested White Bass observed during creel surveys, and TH is the total estimated harvest for the creel period.

## Striped Bass



Figure 10. Number of Striped Bass caught per net night (CPUE), mean relative weight (diamonds), and population indices (RSE and N for CPUE and SE for size structure are in parentheses) for spring gill net surveys, Lake Livingston, Texas, 2012 and 2017. No Striped Bass were collected in 2021.


Figure 11. Length frequency of harvested Striped Bass observed during creel surveys at Lake Livingston, Texas, June through August 2011, all anglers combined. $N$ is the number of harvested Striped Bass observed during creel surveys, and TH is the total estimated harvest for the creel period. No Striped Bass were observed as harvested in 2016 or 2020.

## Largemouth Bass



Figure 12. Number of Largemouth Bass caught per hour (CPUE, bars), mean relative weight (diamonds), and population indices (RSE and N for CPUE and SE for size structure are in parentheses) for the fall electrofishing survey, Lake Livingston, Texas, 2011.

## Largemouth Bass
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Figure 13. Number of Largemouth Bass caught per hour (CPUE, bars), mean relative weight (diamonds), and population indices (RSE and N for CPUE and SE for size structure are in parentheses) for fall electrofishing surveys, Lake Livingston, Texas, 2016 and 2020. These surveys were conducted during the day, and survey sites were randomly selected within 10 creeks and embayments with more desirable habitat.

Table 11. Creel survey statistics for Largemouth Bass at Lake Livingston, Texas, from June through August 2011, June through August 2016, and June through August 2020. Catch rate is for all anglers targeting Largemouth Bass. Harvest is partitioned by the estimated number of fish harvested by nontournament anglers and the number of fish retained by tournament anglers for weigh-in and release. Relative standard errors (RSE) are in parentheses.

| Creel survey statistic | 2011 | 2016 | 2020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Surface area (acres) | 73,887 | 83,277 | 83,277 |
| Directed angling effort (h) |  |  |  |
| Tournament | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Non-tournament | 5,841.36 (50) | 4,226.08 (55) | 0.00 |
| All black bass anglers combined | 5,841.36 (50) | 4,226.08 (55) | 0.00 |
| Angling effort/acre | 0.08 (50) | 0.05 (55) | 0.00 |
| Catch rate (number/h) | 1.13 (27) | 0.48 (36) |  |
| Total catch | 5,989.00 (71) | 4,040.00 (155) | 0.00 |
| $<4.0 \mathrm{lbs}$ | 5,989-100\% | 3,487-86\% | 0 |
| $\geq 4-6.9 \mathrm{lbs}$ | 0 | 553-14\% | 0 |
| $\geq 7-9.9 \mathrm{lbs}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\geq 10 \mathrm{lbs}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Harvest |  |  |  |
| Non-tournament harvest | 1,341.00 (105) | 1,677.00 (171) | 0.00 |
| Harvest/acre | 0.02 (93) | 0.02 (171) | 0.00 |
| Tournament weigh-in and release | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Percent legal released (non-tournament) | 40.0 | 0.0 | NA |



Figure 14. Length frequency of harvested Largemouth Bass observed during creel surveys at Lake Livingston, Texas, June 2011 through August 2016, all anglers combined. N is the number of harvested Largemouth Bass observed during creel surveys, and TH is the total estimated harvest for the creel period. No Largemouth Bass were observed as harvested in 2020.

Table 12. Results of genetic analysis of Largemouth Bass collected by fall electrofishing, Lake Livingston, Texas. FLMB = Florida Largemouth Bass, NLMB = Northern Largemouth Bass, F1 = first generation hybrid between a FLMB and a NLMB, Fx = second or higher generation hybrid between a FLMB and a NLMB. Genetic composition was determined with micro-satellite DNA analysis.

| Year | Sample size | Number of fish |  |  |  | \% FLMB alleles | \% pure FLMB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FLMB | F1 | Fx | NLMB |  |  |
| 2011 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 6 | 26.0 | 0.0 |
| 2020 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 5 | 25.0 | 0.0 |

## Crappies

Table 13. Creel survey statistics for crappies at Lake Livingston, Texas, from June through August 2011, June through August 2016, and June through August 2020. Total catch per hour is for anglers targeting White Crappie and total harvest is the estimated number of White Crappie harvested by all anglers. Relative standard errors (RSE) are in parentheses.

| Creel survey statistic | Year |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2011 |  | 2016 |
| Surface area (acres) | 73,887 | 83,277 | 83,277 |
| Directed effort (h) | 0.00 | $735.17(122)$ | $1,708.73(90)$ |
| Directed effort/acre | 0.00 | $0.01(122)$ | $0.02(90)$ |
| Total catch per hour |  | 0.00 | $3.38(19)$ |
| Total harvest | 0.00 | 0.00 | $4,098.00(113)$ |
| Harvest/acre | 0.00 | 0.00 | $0.05(113)$ |
| Percent legal released |  |  | 0.0 |



Figure 15. Length frequency of harvested crappies observed during creel surveys at Lake Livingston, Texas, June through August 2020, all anglers combined. N is the number of harvested crappies observed during creel surveys, and TH is the total estimated harvest for the creel period. No crappies were observed as harvested in 2011 or 2016.

## Proposed Sampling Schedule

Table 14. Proposed sampling schedule for Lake Livingston, Texas. Survey period is June through May. Gill netting surveys are conducted in the spring, while electrofishing surveys are conducted in the fall.

|  | Survey year |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2021-2022 | 2022-2023 | 2023-2024 | $2024-2025$ |
| Angler Access |  |  | X |  |
| Structural Habitat | X | X | X | X |
| Vegetation |  |  | X |  |
| Electrofishing - Fall |  | X |  |  |
| Gill netting |  | X |  |  |
| Creel survey |  | X |  |  |
| Report |  |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX A - Catch rates for all species from all gear types

Number ( N ) and catch rate (CPUE) (RSE in parentheses) of all target species collected from all gear types from Lake Livingston, Texas, 2020-2021. Sampling effort was 15 net nights for gill netting and 2 hours for electrofishing.

| Species | Gill Netting |  | Electrofishing |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | N |  | CPUE | N |
| Spotted Gar | 1 | 0.1 | CPUE |  |
| Gizzard Shad | 3 | 0.2 | 580 | 290.0 |
| Threadfin Shad |  |  | 7,575 | $3,787.5$ |
| Common Carp | 34 | 2.3 |  |  |
| Blue Catfish | 640 | 42.7 |  |  |
| Channel Catfish | 23 | 1.5 |  |  |
| White Bass | 322 | 21.5 |  |  |
| Yellow Bass | 75 | 5.0 |  | 49.0 |
| Bluegill |  |  | 98 | 13.0 |
| Longear Sunfish |  |  | 26 | 2.0 |
| Redear Sunfish |  |  | 4 | 37.5 |
| Largemouth Bass |  |  |  |  |
| White Crappie |  |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX B - Map of sampling locations



Location of sampling sites, Lake Livingston, Texas, 2020-2021. Gill net and electrofishing stations are indicated by G and E , respectively. Water level was near full pool at time of sampling.

## APPENDIX C - Reporting of creel ZIP code data



Frequency of anglers that traveled various distances (miles) to Lake Livingston, Texas, as determined from the June through August 2020 creel survey.

## APPENDIX D - Fish consumption advisory issued by TDSHS

Fish consumption advisory issued by the Texas Department of State Health Services for Lake Livingston and the Trinity River (U.S. Highway 287 downstream to U.S. Highway 90) due to elevated levels of dioxins and polychlorinated biphenyls, December 2015. Recommended serving size is eight ounces.

| Species | Women of childbearing <br> age \& children under 12 | Women past childbearing age <br> and persons 12 and older |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Blue Catfish | DO NOT EAT | 1 serving/month |
| Flathead Catfish | DO NOT EAT | 1 serving/month |
| Freshwater Drum | DO NOT EAT | 2 servings/month |
| Gar (all species) | DO NOT EAT | DO NOT EAT |
| Smallmouth Buffalo | DO NOT EAT | 1 serving/month |
| Striped Bass | 1 serving/month | 3 servings/month |
| White Bass | 1 serving/month | 3 servings/month |
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